

## TRANSCENDENCE PRINCIPLES

Thomas Wieting  
Reed College, 2009

1° In context of Zermelo/Fraenkel Set Theory, we plan to prove a basic theorem, called  $(O)$ . From  $(O)$ , we will derive a circle of implications relating the Axiom of Choice and its various relatives.

2° Let  $X$  be any nonempty set, partially ordered by the relation  $\leq$ . Let  $T$  be a subset of  $X$ . One says that  $T$  is a *chain* in  $X$  iff  $T$  is totally ordered. That is, for any members  $u$  and  $v$  of  $T$ , either  $u \leq v$  or  $v \leq u$ .

3° One says that  $X$  is *chain complete* iff, for every chain  $T$  in  $X$ , the subset  $T^*$  of  $X$  consisting of all upper bounds for  $T$  contains a smallest member. Of course, one denotes that member by  $\sup(T)$ . Let  $f$  be any mapping carrying  $X$  to itself. One refers to  $f$  as an *optimistic* mapping iff, for each  $x$  in  $X$ ,  $x \leq f(x)$ . By a *fixed* point for  $f$ , one means any member  $w$  of  $X$  for which  $f(w) = w$ . We contend that:

$(O)$  if  $X$  is chain complete and if  $f$  is optimistic then  $f$  admits a fixed point

4° Let us prove the contention. To that end, we introduce an arbitrary element  $\xi$  in  $X$ . Let  $Y$  be any subset of  $X$ . We say that  $Y$  is *invariant* iff:

- (1)  $\xi \in Y$
- (2)  $f(Y) \subseteq Y$
- (3) for each chain  $T$  in  $Y$ ,  $\sup(T) \in Y$

Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be the family of all invariant subsets of  $X$ . Obviously,  $X \in \mathcal{Y}$ , so that  $\mathcal{Y} \neq \emptyset$ . Consequently, we may introduce the intersection of  $\mathcal{Y}$ :  $Z = \bigcap \mathcal{Y}$ . Clearly,  $Z$  is invariant and, for any subset  $Y$  of  $X$ , if  $Y$  is invariant then  $Z \subseteq Y$ . We may say that  $Z$  is the *minimum* invariant subset of  $X$ .

5° Let  $Y_o$  be the subset of  $X$  consisting of all  $x$  such that  $\xi \leq x$ . Of course,  $Y_o$  is invariant, so that  $Z \subseteq Y_o$ . Hence:

- (4) for each  $z$  in  $Z$ ,  $\xi \leq z$

6° We claim that  $Z$  is a chain in  $X$ . Having proved the claim, we may complete the proof of the contention, as follows. Let  $w = \sup(Z)$ . Of course,  $f(w) \in Z$ . Hence,  $w \leq f(w) \leq w$ , so that  $f(w) = w$ .  $\dagger$

7° To prove the claim, it is sufficient to prove that:

(5) for any  $u$  in  $Z$  and for any  $v$  in  $Z$ ,  $v \leq u$  or  $f(u) \leq v$

because  $u \leq f(u)$ . To prove (5), we argue as follows. Let  $U$  be the subset of  $Z$  consisting of all  $u$  such that, for any  $z$  in  $Z$ , if  $z < u$  then  $f(z) \leq u$ . Let  $u$  be any member of  $U$ . Let  $V_u$  be the subset of  $Z$  consisting of all  $v$  such that  $v \leq u$  or  $f(u) \leq v$ . By (4),  $\xi \in V_u$ . Let  $v$  be any member of  $V_u$ . If  $v < u$  then  $f(v) \leq u$ , because  $u \in U$ ; if  $v = u$  then  $f(u) \leq f(v)$ ; if  $f(u) \leq v$  then  $f(u) \leq v \leq f(v)$ . Hence,  $f(v) \in V_u$ . Let  $T$  be any chain in  $V_u$ . Let  $s = \sup(T)$ . It may happen that, for each  $t$  in  $T$ ,  $t \leq u$ ; if so, then  $s \leq u$ . If not, then there is some  $t$  in  $T$  such that  $f(u) \leq t$ ; hence,  $f(u) \leq s$ . It follows that  $s \in V_u$ . Altogether, we infer that  $V_u$  is invariant, so that  $V_u = Z$ . Therefore:

(6) for any  $u$  in  $U$  and for any  $v$  in  $Z$ ,  $v \leq u$  or  $f(u) \leq v$

8° By default,  $\xi \in U$ . Let  $u$  be any member of  $U$ . Let  $z$  be any member of  $Z$  for which  $z < f(u)$ . By (6),  $z \leq u$ . If  $z < u$  then  $f(z) \leq u \leq f(u)$ ; if  $z = u$  then  $f(z) \leq f(u)$ . Hence,  $f(u) \in U$ . Let  $T$  be any chain in  $U$ . Let  $s = \sup(T)$ . Let  $z$  be any member of  $Z$  for which  $z < s$ . Obviously, there is some  $t$  in  $T$  such that  $t \not\leq z$ , so that  $f(t) \not\leq z$ . By (6),  $z \leq t$ . In fact,  $z < t$ , so that  $f(z) \leq t \leq s$ . Consequently,  $s \in U$ . Altogether, we infer that  $U$  is invariant, so that  $U = Z$ . Therefore, (5) coincides with (6).  $\ddagger$

9° At this point, let us state the Axiom of Choice (A), together with a close relative (B) of it:

(A) for any family  $\mathcal{Y}$  of mutually disjoint nonempty sets, there is a subset  $Z$  of  $\cup \mathcal{Y}$  such that, for each  $Y$  in  $\mathcal{Y}$ ,  $Z \cap Y$  is a singleton

(B) for any nonempty sets  $X$  and  $Y$  and for any mapping  $F$  carrying  $X$  to  $\mathcal{P}_o(Y)$ , there is a mapping  $C$  carrying  $X$  to  $Y$  such that, for each  $\xi$  in  $X$ ,  $C(\xi) \in F(\xi)$

In statement (B), we have introduced  $\mathcal{P}_o(Y)$  to stand for the set of all nonempty subsets of  $Y$ .

10° Let us prove that (A) implies (B). Given  $X$ ,  $Y$ , and  $F$  as described, let us introduce the mapping  $\Phi$  carrying  $X$  to  $\mathcal{P}_o(X \times Y)$ , which assigns to each  $\xi$  in  $X$  the value  $\{\xi\} \times F(\xi)$ . Clearly,  $\Phi$  is injective and the range  $\mathcal{Y}$  of  $\Phi$  is a family of mutually disjoint subsets of  $X \times Y$ . Let  $Z$  be a subset of  $X \times Y$  such that, for each  $\xi$  in  $X$ ,  $Z \cap \Phi(\xi)$  is a singleton. Clearly,  $Z$  is the graph of a mapping  $C$  carrying  $X$  to  $Y$  of the sort required.  $\ddagger$

11° Again, let  $X$  be any nonempty set, partially ordered by the relation  $\leq$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the set of all chains in  $X$ , ordered by inclusion. Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a chain in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Clearly,  $T = \cup \mathcal{T}$  is a chain in  $X$ . Moreover,  $T = \text{sup}(\mathcal{T})$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{X}$  is chain complete. Let us apply (B) and (O) to prove Hausdorff's Principle:

(H)  $\mathcal{X}$  contains maximal members

Let  $F$  be the mapping carrying  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ , defined as follows. For each  $T$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $F(T)$  is the subset of  $\mathcal{X}$  containing all chains  $U$  in  $X$  for which  $T \subset U$ . That is,  $T \subseteq U$  while  $T \neq U$ . Let us suppose that, for each  $T$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Applying (B), we obtain a mapping  $C$  carrying  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathcal{X}$  such that, for each  $T$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $T \subset C(T)$ . Such a mapping would be optimistic but would have no fixed point, contradicting (O). We infer that our supposition is untenable, hence, that there is some chain  $T$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  for which  $F(T) = \emptyset$ . Such a chain is maximal. †

12° One says that  $X$  is *chain bounded* iff, for every chain  $T$  in  $X$ , the subset  $T^*$  of  $X$  consisting of all upper bounds for  $T$  is nonempty. Let us apply Hausdorff's Principle to prove Zorn's Lemma:

(Z) if  $X$  is chain bounded then  $X$  contains maximal members

For the proof, one need only introduce an upper bound  $m$  for a maximal chain  $T$  in  $X$ . †

13° One says that  $X$  is *well ordered* iff, for each member  $Y$  of  $\mathcal{P}_o(X)$ ,  $Y$  contains a smallest member. Let us apply Zorn's Lemma to prove the Well Ordering Principle:

(W) for any nonempty set  $X$ , there is a relation  $\leq$  on  $X$  with respect to which  $X$  is partially ordered and well ordered

Let  $X$  be any nonempty set. Let  $\mathbf{Y}$  be the set of all ordered pairs  $(Y, \leq)$ , where  $Y$  is a nonempty subset of  $X$  and where  $\leq$  is a relation on  $Y$  with respect to which  $Y$  is partially ordered and well ordered. Obviously,  $\mathbf{Y}$  is nonempty. Let us introduce the following relation on  $\mathbf{Y}$ :

$$(Y_1, \leq_1) \preceq (Y_2, \leq_2)$$

iff:

- (1)  $Y_1 \subseteq Y_2$
- (2) for any  $y_1$  in  $Y_1$  and for any  $y_2$  in  $Y_1$ ,  $y_1 \leq_1 y_2$  iff  $y_1 \leq_2 y_2$
- (3) for any  $y_1$  in  $Y_1$  and for any  $y_2$  in  $Y_2$ , if  $y_2 \notin Y_1$  then  $y_1 \leq_2 y_2$

By straightforward argument, one can show that  $\mathbf{Y}$  is chain bounded, in fact, chain complete. Zorn's Lemma yields a maximal member  $(Y, \leq)$  of  $\mathbf{Y}$ . Obviously,  $Y = X$ . †

14° Finally, let us prove that  $(W)$  implies  $(A)$ . Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be any family of mutually disjoint nonempty sets. Let  $X = \cup \mathcal{Y}$ . Let  $\leq$  be a relation on  $X$  with respect to which  $X$  is partially ordered and well ordered. Let  $M$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{P}_o(X) \times X$  consisting of all ordered pairs  $(Y, \xi)$  for which:

$$(\xi \in Y) \wedge (\forall \eta)(\eta \in Y \longrightarrow \xi \leq \eta)$$

Clearly,  $M$  is the graph of a mapping  $L$  carrying  $\mathcal{P}_o(X)$  to  $X$  such that, for each  $Y$  in  $\mathcal{P}_o(X)$ ,  $L(Y)$  is the smallest member of  $Y$ . Let  $Z = L(\mathcal{Y})$ . Clearly, for each  $Y$  in  $\mathcal{Y}$ ,  $Z \cap Y$  is a singleton. †

15° Informed by  $(O)$ , we have proved the following cycle:

$$(A) \implies (B) \xRightarrow{(O)} (H) \implies (Z) \implies (W) \implies (A)$$