

CUTS

Thomas Wieting, 2012

Rational Numbers: \mathbf{Q}^+

01° Let us begin with the set \mathbf{Q}^+ consisting of all positive rational numbers, supplied as usual with the operations of addition and multiplication and the relation of order:

$$+, \times, <$$

For any numbers x and y in \mathbf{Q}^+ , we write:

$$x + y, x \times y = xy, x < y$$

In terms of these expressions, we may describe the familiar properties of arithmetic and order, such as:

$$x(y + z) = xy + xz, \quad x < y, y < z \implies x < z$$

where x , y , and z are any numbers in \mathbf{Q}^+ .

02° We plan to describe the set \mathbf{R}^+ consisting of all positive real numbers, together with operations of addition and multiplication and a relation of order. It proves to be an *extension* of \mathbf{Q}^+ , of immense significance in mathematical studies. To produce \mathbf{R}^+ , we follow the method of *cuts*, introduced by R. Dedekind in the late Nineteenth Century. The merit of the method lies in its conceptual simplicity.

Cuts in \mathbf{Q}^+

03° Let (A, B) be an ordered pair of subsets of \mathbf{Q}^+ . We say that (A, B) is a *cut* in \mathbf{Q}^+ iff:

- (1) $A \neq \emptyset$, $B \neq \emptyset$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, and $A \cup B = \mathbf{Q}^+$
- (2) for any numbers x and y in \mathbf{Q}^+ , if $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ then $x < y$

We denote such a cut by $A \vee B$, or simply by C . Clearly:

- (3) if $x < y$ and if $y \in A$ then $x \in A$
- (4) if $x < y$ and if $x \in B$ then $y \in B$

04° Let C_1 and C_2 be cuts in \mathbf{Q}^+ :

$$C_1 = A_1 \vee B_1, \quad C_2 = A_2 \vee B_2$$

Let $A_1 + A_2$ and $A_1 \times A_2 = A_1 A_2$ be the subsets of \mathbf{Q}^+ consisting of all numbers of the form:

$$x_1 + x_2, \quad x_1 \times x_2 = x_1 x_2$$

respectively, where x_1 and x_2 are any numbers in A_1 and A_2 , respectively. Let A' and A'' stand for $A_1 + A_2$ and $A_1 \times A_2 = A_1 A_2$, respectively, and let B' and B'' stand for the complements of A' and A'' , respectively, in \mathbf{Q}^+ .

05• Show that the ordered pairs (A', B') and (A'', B'') are cuts in \mathbf{Q}^+ :

$$C' = A' \vee B', \quad C'' = A'' \vee B''$$

In this way, justify the following definitions of addition and multiplication of cuts in \mathbf{Q}^+ :

$$(a/m) \quad \begin{aligned} C_1 + C_2 &= (A_1 + A_2) \vee (\mathbf{Q}^+ \setminus (A_1 + A_2)) \\ C_1 \times C_2 &= (A_1 \times A_2) \vee (\mathbf{Q}^+ \setminus (A_1 \times A_2)) \end{aligned}$$

06• Prove the commutative, associative, and distributive properties for the foregoing operations.

07• Supply the cuts in \mathbf{Q}^+ with a relation of order, as follows:

$$(o) \quad C_1 < C_2 \iff A_1 \subseteq A_2, \quad A_1 \neq A_2$$

Verify that this relation is a linear order relation.

Real Numbers: \mathbf{R}^+

08° Now let \mathbf{R}^+ be the set of all cuts in \mathbf{Q}^+ . We refer to the members of \mathbf{R}^+ as positive real numbers. The foregoing exercises provide \mathbf{R}^+ with operations of addition and multiplication and with a relation of order:

$$+, \times, <$$

09• Show that the familiar relations among the operations $+$ and \times and the relation $<$ hold true. For instance, show that:

$$C_1 < C_2 \implies C \times C_1 < C \times C_2$$

where C , C_1 , and C_2 are any cuts in \mathbf{Q}^+ .

10• Let x be any number in \mathbf{Q}^+ and let X be the cut in \mathbf{Q}^+ defined as follows:

$$(r) \quad X = \{y \in \mathbf{Q}^+ : y \leq x\} \vee \{y \in \mathbf{Q}^+ : x < y\}$$

We refer to X as a *rational cut*, the cut in \mathbf{Q}^+ defined by the rational number x . Introduce the mapping ρ carrying \mathbf{Q}^+ to \mathbf{R}^+ , as follows:

$$\rho(x) = X$$

where x is any number in \mathbf{Q}^+ . Show that ρ is injective and that it preserves addition, multiplication, and order.

11° One may say \mathbf{R}^+ is an *extension* of \mathbf{Q}^+ .

12• Show that the range of ρ is *dense* in \mathbf{R}^+ , which is to say that, for any numbers C_1 and C_2 in \mathbf{R}^+ , if $C_1 < C_2$ then there is a number x in \mathbf{Q}^+ such that:

$$C_1 < X < C_2$$

where $X = \rho(x)$. Show that the range of ρ is *unbounded* in \mathbf{R}^+ , which is to say that, for each number C in \mathbf{R}^+ , there is a number x in \mathbf{Q}^+ such that:

$$C < X$$

13• Show that the range of ρ in \mathbf{R}^+ does not equal \mathbf{R}^+ . To that end, introduce the cut:

$$(j) \quad J = \{x \in \mathbf{Q}^+ : x^2 < 2\} \vee \{x \in \mathbf{Q}^+ : 2 < x^2\}$$

in \mathbf{Q}^+ . Verify that J is not a rational cut, that is, that J is not in the range of ρ .

Completeness

14° At this point, we gather the fruit of our labors.

15° Let $\mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$ be an ordered pair of nonempty subsets of \mathbf{R}^+ . Following the pattern described in \mathbf{Q}^+ , we say that \mathbf{C} is a *cut* in \mathbf{R}^+ iff the sets \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} form a partition of \mathbf{R}^+ and, for any numbers C and D in \mathbf{R}^+ , if $C \in \mathbf{A}$ and $D \in \mathbf{B}$, then $C < D$. We contend that there is a number E in \mathbf{R}^+ which defines \mathbf{C} , in the sense that E is the largest number in \mathbf{A} or E is the smallest number in \mathbf{B} . Just as well, one may say that E is the *supremum* of \mathbf{A} and the *infimum* of \mathbf{B} .

16° One refers to the theorem just stated as the Completeness Theorem for \mathbf{R}^+ .

17° In practice, one encounters the theorem in the following form. Let \mathbf{S} be any subset of \mathbf{R}^+ . Let \mathbf{S}^* be the subset of \mathbf{R}^+ consisting of all upper bounds for \mathbf{S} . That is, for any number D in \mathbf{R}^+ , $D \in \mathbf{S}^*$ iff, for each number C in \mathbf{S} , $C \leq D$. Let \mathbf{B} stand for \mathbf{S}^* and let \mathbf{A} be the complement of \mathbf{B} in \mathbf{R}^+ : $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}^+ \setminus \mathbf{B}$. Clearly, if $\mathbf{S} \neq \emptyset$ and if $\mathbf{S}^* \neq \emptyset$ then (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) is a cut in \mathbf{R}^+ . By the Completeness Theorem, we may introduce the number E in \mathbf{R}^+ , namely, the supremum of \mathbf{A} and the infimum of \mathbf{B} . Obviously, $E \in \mathbf{B}$, so that E is the smallest upper bound (that is, the supremum) of \mathbf{S} . It may or may not be in \mathbf{S} itself.

18• Prove the Completeness Theorem. To that end, introduce the ordered pair (\bar{A}, \bar{B}) of subsets of \mathbf{Q}^+ , where:

$$\bar{A} = \bigcup \mathbf{A}, \quad \bar{B} = \bigcap \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{Q}^+ \setminus \bar{A}$$

Show that (\bar{A}, \bar{B}) is a cut in \mathbf{Q}^+ :

$$E = \bar{A} \vee \bar{B}$$

Show that E is the supremum of \mathbf{A} and the infimum of \mathbf{B} .

19° One should take a moment to consider whether the foregoing argument, yielding by so little effort so significant a consequence, might violate the Protestant Ethic.